



Name:	<a href="#">UTTAR PRADESH JOURNAL OF ZOOLOGY</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_UPJOZ_3355</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Stem Cell and its Applications in Medical Biotechnology</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Review Article</b>

**General guideline:**

**This journal believes that no manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of 'lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is sufficiently robust and technically sound. Too often a journal's decision to publish a paper is dominated by what the Editor/reviewer think is interesting and will gain greater readership - both of which are subjective judgments and lead to decisions which are frustrating and delay the publication. This journal will rigorously peer-review your submissions and publish all papers that are judged to be technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://mbimph.com/index.php/UPJOZ/editorial-policy>



## 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's feedback
<b><u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments</b>  <b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  <b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)  <b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>  <b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>  <b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b>  <b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b>  <b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b>	<p><b>Yes, To certain but not comprehensive as it only covers a few area of author's interest.</b></p> <p><b>What about "Stem cells and their application in biomedical aspects."</b></p> <p><b>Article wise is ok.</b></p> <p><b>Well defined but lack of coherent between sections. Just like block building.</b></p> <p><b>The source of information is not quoted.</b></p> <p><b>No new information.</b></p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes with certain changes</p> <p>Yes, correct the manuscript</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes, we have added some more references.</p>



<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Please refer to my comments in green in the edited document.	Yes, solve all the comments with references.
<b>Optional</b> comments	<b>Search for more updated references from Google for the topic.</b>	Yes

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	No ethical issue in this manuscript.